



Application No. 10/751,437

Amendment dated August 24, 2006

After Final Office Action of May 25, 2006

Docket No.: M4065.0271/P271-A

REMARKS

Claims 67-92 are pending. Claims 67-92 stand rejected.

The Office Action states at page 2 that "the rejections are respectfully maintained and reproduced *infra*," but the Office Action does not restate or otherwise refer to the previous rejection of claims 67-92 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,112,284 to Hayek et al., found in the non-final Office Action mailed December 28, 2005. During a telephonic conference with Examiner Cao on August 14, 2006, the Examiner confirmed that this rejection has been withdrawn based on the Applicants' response dated March 28, 2006. Examiner Cao confirmed that the only pending rejection in the case is the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 67-92, discussed *infra*.

Claims 67-92 stand rejected under the doctrine of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 11, 16, 26, 33, 34, 42, 49, 52, 59 and 60 of U.S. Patent No. 6,691,214 to Li et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Application No. 10/751,437
Amendment dated August 24, 2006
After Final Office Action of May 25, 2006

Docket No.: M4065.0271/P271-A

Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer, attached hereto. Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: August 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Jerome A. Deluca

Registration No.: 55,106

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicant